

Recovery / Catch Up funding Impact Report

Autumn 2 Term Year 1		Year 1	Phonics	
How many How many hours were allocated?		nours were allocated?	What impact did the intervention have/ what difference did it make? RAG Rate and Comment	
received your				
intervention?			Behaviour can be disruptive and 'silly' but wants to please.	
	05 mains man	le for Associate Charge in	Struggles with letter formation and outing words into context in verbal sentences	
16 chn	25 mins per week for 4 weeks = 5 hours in		Quiet but always on task	
	_	total	Strong member of group but needs to develop focus and attention on her own work	
		per class)	Attendance	
What	was the focus of yo	ur intervention?	Struggles with phonetic awareness verbally and when writing	
			Letter formation requires more work	
Week 1 m,	a, s, d, t		Strong member in group	
	, p, g, o		Attentive but reserved	
	, , , , , ,		Loses focus quickly but benefitted from small group work	
	, I, h, sh, r		Enthusiastic and engaged	
(Office use only)	Was it deemed to be effective – Value for Money?	Worked hard		
what funding		Hesitant but made progress and joined in more week on week		
allocation was	value for iviolity:		Quiet but focussed	
provided for this			Encouraged peers	
Intervention?			Rarely able to maintain focus or on task. Needed additional reminders	
			0 (0%) achieved	
			10 (63%) made good progress	
			6 (37%) made little progress	
£114.37	Although no children achieved the target in Phonics, 63% of children did not make good progress. These children gained in confidence and benefitted from the smaller group teaching, supported by a familiar adult. The majority of children who atten intervention did not attend school throughout the school closure from March 2020 and were home schooled. Upon returning school gaps in their phonic knowledge were evident and this intervention contributed to building on children's phonological awareness and phonic skills.			

email: office@oaktree.surrey.sch.uk Website: www.theoaktreeschool.co.uk



Spring 2 Term Yea		ear 1	Phonics	
How many How many hours were allocated?		What impac	What impact did the intervention have/ what difference did it make?	
children received your				RAG Rate and Comment
interv	ention?		sensibly. Often needs often become silly or	
21	21 chn 25 mins per week for 4 weeks = 5 h in total		2/3 - initially quite disruptive (not listening, calling out, not turn taking). However, tried best as the weeks have gone on and is much improved in this area. blending improved over the weeks	
	per class) What was the focus of your intervention?		2/3 – quiet member of but has made good p	f the group but grew in confidence, very slow and methodical in phonics rogress.
Week 1	 Re-cap the set 1 sounds Read set 2 sounds, 'ay, ee, igh, ow, oo, oo'. To spot the above sounds within words Week Set 2 Sounds Read set 2 sounds from week 1, 			ress week on week. blending is secure for those words with sounds in ecognition of Tricky words.
			2/3 – A quiet member	acted but blending and recognition of tricky words has improved. of the group, has grown in confidence and recognition of Set 2 sounds
			improving.	e on encouragement from other group members, phonics work is
 Read/recognise set 2 sounds 'ar, or' To write words containing 'ar, or' and spot these sounds within words 		but blending continue		
Week 3	Read/RecognTo write wo	 Re-cap set 2 sounds 'ar, or' Read/Recognise set 2 sounds 'air, ir' To write words containing 'air, ir' and spot these sounds within words 		aged by peers but not secure in most Set 2 sounds2 most Set 2 sounds and able to blend confidently e confident with Set 2 ly in confidence eed picture prompts for sound recognition
Week 4			one session	nfidence in Set 2 sounds but sound blending is slow – only attended
			for a minute or two at	y been distracted in group sessions and is only able to focus on task a time. is however, very enthusiastic when he focuses. lence with phonics and is not consistently secure in Set 2 sounds.



(Office use only) what funding allocation was	Was it deemed to be effective – Value for Money?	1/3 – needs encouragement. When prompted can recognise Set 2 sounds but has struggled with "ir" so we have used repetition and phonics games to try to consolidate.
provided for this Intervention?		2/3 – is a very quiet member of the group and works hard, always willing to have a go. I would predict that will grow in confidence and show improvement next term.
		 2/3 – Although a little disruptive, likes to take part in conversations about the week's sounds and will always attempt sentences containing the sounds. 2/3 – Very consistent – has made improvements week on week.
		2/3 – Has improved week on week.
		1 (5%) achieved 12 (57%) made good progress 8 (38%) made no progress
£114.37	62% of children achieved or made good progress towards set targets this cycle. This continued to build on their phoknowledge.	



Summer 1 Term Y		ear 1	Phonics		
How many How many hours were allocated?		What impact did the intervention have/ what difference did it make?			
children received your intervention?		2/2 in coours in Set 2 cour	RAG Rate and Comment ands but not Set 3 as yet. Has become increasingly confident with		
	ch year			blending sounds.	lds but not Set 3 as yet. Has become increasingly confident with
	oup?				week. We will continue to work on Set 2 and spelling house words
18	chn	25 mins x 2 per	week for 6 weeks per	using precision teaching in S	
			class	2/3 – is secure in Set 2 sour	
What was the focus of your intervention?		r intervention?	words need attention.	ss has improved greatly, is always confident and engaged. Tricky	
Week 1	Week Consolidation of Spring 2 Interventions		erventions	increasingly contributing to t	
Week 2	Play phonics games. Revise (I, me, my, was, you, they, go) Sound out words containing and build oo, oo, oa, ow		(I, me, my, was, you, they,	2/3 - made good progress	2 sounds or spelling house words. in the last couple of weeks, has a short attention span but once an make valuable contributions to the session.
					ted in the last group session. I will sit next to me going forward to
Week			phonics sounds and learn	2/3 – blending improved in \	Weeks 5 and 6.
3	Eg Bump,	to sound out cvcc words. Eg Bump, nest, belt, milk etc (have, like, some, come, ittle, said) Sound out words containing and build ay ai ee ea and aw			per of the group, is making steady progress with blending and in
	ee ea and				ss. Often impulsive but in the main, can self-correct and will always
Week 4	Play phonics games eek Continue building on Set 2/3 phonics sounds and learn cvcc words. Blend carefully teaching the children how			mber of the group, blending and recognition of Set 3 sounds is fairly	
7	to blend. (all, they, h	olend. (skate, flip, trod, crack, tree etc) and, was, she,		encouragement though is all peers. Not yet secure in Se	
	Sound out	out words containing ew, ow and ow, and bl, fl, , etc and build		Confident with Set 2 sounds	
Week	Continue consolidating Set 2/3 sounds (smile, swim,			ethodical, likes to help others and I feel this in turn helps with	
5	street, flee			confidence. Confident with	most Set 2 sounds. tive. Has made excellent progress and likes to help peers. Able to
				recognise most Set 3 sound	
	Sound out words containing ir, ur, er, ar and or and build			ce since the beginning of term and is making steady progress.	
Week	Continue	Continue consolidating Set 2/3 sounds (drove, drive, s (He, do, love, no, to, be, we) Play phonics games Sound out words containing split			
6	Play phon			recognise the sounds. Is co	nen focussed works well in the group, using picture cards to help onfident with Set 2 sounds.
	vowel diagraphs and build				

email: office@oaktree.surrey.sch.uk Website: www.theoaktreeschool.co.uk



(Office use only) what funding allocation was provided for this Intervention?	Value for Money?	1 (5%) achieved 13 (73%) made good progress 4 (22%) made no progress	
£137.25 + £661.80 = £799.05	78% of children achieved or made good progress towards set targets this cycle. This continued to build on their phonics knowledge.		

email: office@oaktree.surrey.sch.uk Website: www.theoaktreeschool.co.uk



	Summer 2 Term		Year 1	Phonics
How many children received your How many		What impact did the intervention have/ what difference did it make?		
intervention? Which year group? hours were		RAG Rate and Comment		
	, , ,	allocated?	1/3 - seemed to make a marked improvement	ent in the third session, was enthusiastic and keen to give
			sentence ideas, used sound buttons to help w	
			2/3 – secure in all Set 2 sounds and made go	od progress in Set 3.
			2/3 – although a quieter member of the group	, can articulate sentences but struggles with writing them.
			3/3 – is able to write sentences independently	and has had good recognition of all Set 3 sounds so far.
			2/3 - blending is improving and has been con	fident in recognition of Set 3 sounds we have covered.
			2/3 – is confident with the Set 3 sounds we have	ave covered, enjoys helping peers.
			3/3 – continues to be the most enthusiastic	member of the group, verbal phonics skills have improved
	19 chn	25 mins x 2		e have covered. Sentence writing needs work in terms of
		per week for	structure and spelling.	
		6 weeks per		ns but showed a marked improvement in blending in the final
		class	session.	
Wha	What was the focus of your intervention?			nce is boosted when working in a small group.
	,		2/3 – blending has improved but is still not see	
Week 1	Recap Set 2 Sounds identif	fy any gaps	2/3 –recognition of Set 3 sounds is minimal but	
TT COIL I	Assess "oi" and "ea" from S	Summer 1		roup and enjoys helping peers, is able to self-correct when
Week 2			makes an error.	
	Set 3 sound 'ur'		1/3 – continues to be distracted and finds it h	ard to concentrate, is not secure in the sounds we covered
Week 3			this term.	
	Set 3 sound 'oa'			cus easily though and can be quite chatty with other group
Week 4	Set 2 flash cards,		members.	
	Set 3 sound 'aw'			examples but during last session level of concentration and
Week 5 Set 2 flash cards,			blending seemed to have improved.	
	Set 3 sound 'er'			approach and is consistent and had started to put hand up
Week 6	Set 2 flash cards, Set 3 flas	shcards	during sessions.	
	Focus on split vowel digraphs			



(Office use only) what funding allocation was provided for this Intervention?	Was it deemed to be effective – Value for Money?	2/3 – somewhat exuberant character has often meant is distracted and disruptive during sessions. However, is never without ideas for sentence work and when focussed has good recognition of Set 2 sounds. 3/3 – is confident and assertive, is secure in Set 2 sounds and likes to help peers. 2/3 – has progressed well with phonics and is secure in Set 2 and likes to use the visual aids on sound cards as prompts. 4 (5%) achieved 12 (73%) made good progress 3 (22%) made no progress
£137.25 + £667.80 = £799.05	Throughout the year the children have made steady progress through small interventions by a well-known adult. 78% of children made good progress and the end of year Phonics check results reflected this. Phonics continues to be an area of development across the school. The 3 (22%) chn who made no progress at the end of this cycle have additional needs or low attendance effected their progress. 83% of children achieved ARE in Reading during June data assessments.	